Strongly disagree

The future of this Task Group should be ...

Strongly agree

End after we report to the Court this month

Continue this Fall to finish work on impacted community, scoping the phase out study, and hearing figuresenters

Recast with new members & scope on broader forests and climate change issues around thermal energy, forestry practices, working lands, etc.

2.5

Other ideas for the future of this or another TG?



continue with additional topics, back yard furnaces subsidy for McNeil and Rygate and more

I think we need to continue to address additional areas, back yard furnace regulations and monitoring, more about subsidized biomass

centers. etc

I welcome expanding scope to include burning biomass for heat. Issues are mostly the same, except efficiency.

A real focus on a just transition (e.g., alternative product streams for residue woods that avoid combustion, and other such considerations)

